

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, Dc. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/634,806	08/08/2000	Eric Lenz	LAM1P144/P0665	4483
22434	7590 12/04/2002			
BEYER WEA	AVER & THOMAS LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY,	CA 94704-0778	ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763 DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						-/h)-			
Office Action Summary			Application No		kpplicant(s)				
		09/634,806		LENZ ET AL.					
			Examin r		Art Unit				
			Luz L. Alejandro		1763				
Th MAILIN Period f r Reply	IG DATE of this commun	ication app	ars on the cov	r sheet with the c	orrespond nce add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>16 Se</u>	eptember 2002						
2a)⊠ This action	is FINAL .	2b) This	s action is non-	final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,</u>	<u>7-13 <i>and 18-</i>28</u> is/are pe	ending in the	application.						
4a) Of the al	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,</u>	7 <u>-13, <i>and 18-28</i> is/are</u> re	ejected.							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restric	ction and/or	election requir	ement.					
Application Papers									
,	ation is objected to by the								
	(s) filed on is/are:								
	ay not request that any obj								
	d drawing correction file				OVEO by the Examine	r.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S) (d) (f)				
	ment is made of a claim	i for foreign	priority under s	35 U.S.C. § 119(8	a)-(a) or (1).				
· <u> </u>	Some * c) None of:	-l	h h	. S ad					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
<u> </u>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14)☐ Acknowledgm	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 									
Attachment(s)									
	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (F re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		4) 5) 6) 		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/634,806

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 7-13, and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 and claim 23 both recite the limitation "the critical element" in lines 12 and 13, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 1-line 14, and claim 23-line 15, the phrase "a critical element" renders the claim indefinite because the phrase "critical element" was previously used in line 12. Once a limitation is introduced in a claim subsequent use of that limitation must use either —the-- or --said--, or be appropriately differentiated to represent a different limitation.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the support between the second section and the first section" in line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Moreover, it is unclear if applicant is referring to an additional element or the point at which the second element is supported by the first element as previously claimed in lines 9-10 of the claim. For the sake of examination, it is assumed that applicant is referring to the point at which the second element is supported by the first element. Clarification is required.

Art Unit: 1763

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

the treaty defined in section 351(a).

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under

Claims 1, 7-13, and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al., U.S. Patent 6,422,172.

Tanaka et al. shows the invention as claimed including an apparatus comprising: a vacuum chamber wall 6 defining a main cavity and an opening; an exhaust port in fluid communication with the main cavity for establishing a vacuum in the main cavity; a cover (8 and 5) for sealing the opening when the cover is supported by the chamber wall comprising: a first section 5 adjacent to the main cavity, wherein the first section of the cover is supported by the chamber wall 6; a second section 8 on a side of the first section opposite of the main cavity, wherein the second section is supported by the first section only where the first section is supported by the chamber walls; and a pocket between the first section and the second section, wherein the pocket extends above the region of the first section upon which a critical element such as an electrode 2 is supported (note from fig. 1 that gas 7 is flown through the electrode 2 (see col. 6-lines 45-52) so inherently pockets must exist above the first section to allow the gas to

Art Unit: 1763

propagate into the chamber), and the critical element 2 supported by a region of the first section 5 and extending into the main cavity from the first section, wherein the point at which the second section is supported by the first section and the point at which the critical element is supported by the first section are not above one another (see fig. 1 and col. 6-line 25 to col. 7-line 60). Furthermore, also note that a RF power source 1 is also connected to the critical element 2.

Concerning claims 7 and 26, it is clear that there must be a channel extending from the main cavity to the pocket in order to transmit the gas to the main cavity.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7-13, and 18-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/634,806 Page 5

Art Unit: 1763

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Luz L. Alejandro whose telephone number is 703-305-

4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to

6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on 703-308-1633. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310

for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Art Unit 1763

November 29, 2002